Tomas Latham - ADC# 152032 Name and Prisoner/Booking Number	₹ FILED LODGED			
Name and Prisoner/Booking Number A. S. P. C Eyman - Cook Unit - Place of Confinement	Nov 20 2023			
Pio Box 3200 Mailing Address Florence, Arizona 85132 City, State, Zip Code	CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA			
City, State, Zip Code (Failure to notify the Court of your change of address may result in dismissal of this action.)				
IN THE UNITED STATE FOR THE DISTRIC				
Plaintiff,	CV-23-2429-PHX-GMS (DMF)			
(1) P.C.S.O. Deputy B. Gibson, (Full Name of Defendant), (2) P.C.S.O. Sheriff Mark T. Lamb,	(To be supplied by the Clerk) CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT BY A PRISONER			
(3)	☑ Original Complaint ☐ First Amended Complaint ☐ Second Amended Complaint			
Check if there are additional Defendants and attach page 1-A listing them.				
A. JURIS	DICTION			
 This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant 28 U.S.C. § 1343(a); 42 U.S.C. § 1983 28 U.S.C. § 1331; Bivens v. Six Unknown For Other: 	dederal Narcotics Agents, 403 U.S. 388 (1971).			
2. Institution/city where violation occurred: P.C. S.O.	Substation, 820 E. Cottonwood Ln. Casa Grande,			

550/555

B. DEFENDANTS

1.	Name of first Defendant; B. Gibson	The first Defendant is employed
as:	Name of first Defendant: B. Gibson Sheriff's Officer/Deputy, Badge No. 2366 at F (Posttion and Title)	Pinal County Sheriff's Office.
2. as:	Name of second Defendant: Mark T. Lamb P.C.S.O. Sheriff at F	The second Defendant is employed as: Pinal County Sheriff's Office (Institution)
	Name of third Defendant:atatatatatatat	. The third Defendant is employed . (Institution)
	(Position and Title)	(Institution)
	Name of fourth Defendant:	The fourth Defendant is employed
as:	(Position and Title)	(Institution)
If yo	you name more than four Defendants, answer the questions listed above for C. PREVIOUS LAWS	·
1.	Have you filed any other lawsuits while you were a prisoner	? Yes No
2.	If yes, how many lawsuits have you filed? Desc	cribe the previous lawsuits:
	 a. First prior lawsuit: 1. Parties: Tomas Latham (Plaintiff) v. 2. Court and case number: U.S. District Court - J 3. Result: (Was the case dismissed? Was it appeal is Still pending. 	District of Arizona-Case No. CV-23-02060-PHX-GM (DM) led? Is it still pending?) This Case
	b. Second prior lawsuit: 1. Parties: v 2. Court and case number: 3. Result: (Was the case dismissed? Was it appeal	<u> </u>
	c. Third prior lawsuit: 1. Parties:	

If you filed more than three lawsuits, answer the questions listed above for each additional lawsuit on a separate page.

D. CAUSE OF ACTION

1. S	ate the constitutional or other federal civil right that was violated: Violation of the 14th, 8th, and
ten A	nendment to the U.S. Constitution - Dependant P.C.S.O. Deputy B. Gibson.
	ount I. Identify the issue involved. Check only one. State additional issues in separate counts. Basic necessities □ Mail □ Access to the court □ Medical care Disciplinary proceedings □ Property □ Exercise of religion □ Retaliation Excessive force by an officer □ Threat to safety □ Other:
each I	upporting Facts. State as briefly as possible the FACTS supporting Count I. Describe exactly what Defendant did or did not do that violated your rights. State the facts clearly in your own words without egal authority or arguments.
citing	1. Plaintiff Tomas Latham (herein "Plaintiff") is alleging that he suppered an
(here	cessary excessive force assault by Kinal County Sheriff's Officer Deputy B. Gibson in "Defendant Gibson"), Badge No. 2366, Shortly after Defendant Gibson arrested
	Plaintiff is filing this complaint against Dependant Gibson in his individual and ial capacity. Plaintiff alleges that Dependant Gibson willfully deprived him of his
Consi	itutional rights while acting under color of law and caused severe bodily injury,
Pain,	und terror. The Plaintiff Bereby States the following facts:
Gibs the in Poss appropriate	2. At approximately 11:00 p.m. on April 21st, 2023, Plaintiff was riding his bike limmie Kern Blvd. in Casa Grande, Arizona when he was pulled over by Defendant on for not having a light on the back of his bike - only a reflector - and for riding way. Plaintiff then stopped on the side of the road and , out of view Defendant Gibson, Swallowed one of the amounts of methamphetamine in his ession; this would later make the Plaintiff very sick. Defendant Gibson then ached the Plaintiff and requested identification. The Plaintiff Said he didn't his ID, as he forgot it was in his back pocket. Plaintiff then provided (next page)
Seri	njury. State how you were injured by the actions or inactions of the Defendant(s). Sus bodily injury Consisting of optical nerve damage to the right eye, severe hadily from a lacenation above the right eye that caused scarring, and psychological
terri	r including, but not limited to: P.T.S.D., anxiety, and trust issues of authority figures.
	dministrative Remedies: Are there any administrative remedies (grievance procedures or administrative appeals) available at
a	your institution?
b	Did you submit a request for administrative relief on Count I?
c	
d	If you did not submit or appeal a request for administrative relief at any level, briefly explain why you did not. Administrative remedies do not apply to an excessive force
	Complaint against an arresting officer.
	Transfer and an

Dependant Gibson with his birth name Tomas Ledezma Latham, his birthdate, and his Social Security number. Defendant Gibson was then joined by an assisting deputy from the Pinal County Sheriff's Office (herein "P.C.S.O.") by the name of Deputy A. Romero (horein "Deputy Romero"), Badge No. 2469. Shortly after Deputy Romero arrived on Scene, both deputies placed the Plaintiff under arrest for an active arrest warrant and they removed the Plaintiff from his bicycle to complete the armost. Defendant Gibson then searched the Plaintiff and found his State ID in his packet. Dependant Gibson asked the Plaintiff if he had any weapons or other contraband on him and he said [NO. Dependant Gibson then told the Plaintiff that if he brought any Contraband into jail he would receive another charge and the Plaintiff admitted to being in possession of a small amount of Methamphetamine in his pants. Defendant Gibson seized the methamphetamine and told the Plaintiff that he could let it go because it is such a small amount. "Upon being placed into the patrol vehicle, the Plaintiff informed Defendant Gibson that he didn't feel well." When Defendant Gibson got into the Patrol Vehicle, the Plaintiff told him that he was "feeling symptoms of high blood pressure" and that he did not "feel good" because he "swallowed some shit. Defendant Gibson said he would call the fire department and have them meet them at the substation.

3. Defendant Gibson then transported the Plaintiff to the P.C.S.O. Substation on 820 E. Cottonwood Ln., in Casa Grande, Arizona. At the Substation, the Plaintiff was walked in, while handcuffed, and

evaluated by the paramedics from the Casa Grande Fire Department; Dependent Gibson temporarily left the noom. The paramedics said the Plaintiff's blood pressure was high and when Dependent Gibson returned, they informed him that the Plaintiff Should go to the hospital. Dependent Gibson said he would transport the Plaintiff to Banner Casa Grande Hospital. Dependent Gibson then started making threatening and derogatory comments to the Plaintiff regarding his criminal history. Defendent Gibson said to the Plaintiff "[O]h, you're from those yards! Everybody from those yards are child molesters and pedophiles! "and "[N]ow I'm going to charge you with the meth and for hiding it from me." A verbal argument ensued and both the Plaintiff and Dependent Gibson yelled at each other and called each other names.

4. Then while still handcuffed behind his back, the Plaintiff was aggressively pulled up by Defendant Gibson by the linking chain of the handcuffs; Defendant Gibson Slammed him twice into a nearby door java and seemed to be attempting to strike the Plaintiff's head but only injured his right side. Defendant Gibson then seemed to become infuriated and he swiped the Plaintiff off of his feet and Slammed his head face first into the concrete floor. Plaintiff's face above his right eye was cut open and he temporarily lost consciousness. As the Plaintiff started to wake up he realized he was being dragged outside by Defendant Gibson, who dragged him all the way to the patrol vehicle all while the Plaintiff's pants were down. At the patrol vehicle, Defendant Gibson picked up the Plaintiff by the handcuff's again and Slammed him against the Vehicle. In a disgusted manner,

Defendant Gibson looked at the Plaintiff's pants that had fallen down and Said "[C]ome on." Plaintiff then became Sick and Vomitted onto the patrol Vehicle. Defendant Gibson then threw the Plaintiff into the Vehicle, Slammed the door and walked away.

5. Another deputy walked over to the patrol vehicle - later identified as P.C.S.O. Deputy B. Reibschied (herin "Deputy Reibschied"), Badge No. 2560 - and he opened the door and began to speak with the Plaintiff. The Plaintiff asked Deputy Reibschied to loosen his handcuffs as they were too tight and asked him to keep Defendant Gibson away from him because he feared for his life; Plaintiff said to him "I thought he was trying to Kill me!" At this point Defendant Gibson was walking back to the patrol Vehicle and heard the Plaintiff say to Deputy Reibschied "[H]e threw me to the ground!" Defendant Gibson yelled to the Plaintiff "[Y]ou threw yourself to the ground!" Deputy Beibschied then pulled the Plaintiff out of the vehicle, loosened the handcuffs, said he had not seen what happened-what had occurred in the substation - and that he would take the Plaintiff to the hospital. Deputy Reibschied placed the Plaintiff back into the Patrol vehicle and put his seatbelt on. Dependant Gibson then took ten (10) minutes or so to wash the vomit off of his patrol vehicle.

6. Dependant Gibson got into the patrol vehicle and said to the Plaintiff [Ylou got what you deserved. The Plaintiff responded by saying [Ylou're not gonna get away with this, I'm

gonna get you in trouble for what you did to me. "Defendant Gibson then drove the Plaintiff to the haspital.

- 7. Once at the hospital, Plaintiff was Strapped down to a gurney by hospital security. Plaintiff's eye was swollen shut, full of blood, and he was unable to see clearly. A doctor ordered a CAT scan and put eight (8) Stitches into the laceration above the Plaintiff's right eye. Plaintiff continued to be in fear of Defendant Gibson and asked the hospital Staff to call the Casa Grande Police Department or P.C.S.O. to have a different officer transport him. Eventually another unidentified P.C.S.O. deputy transferred the Plaintiff to County jail.
- 8. Several months later, on or about July 8th, 2023, while the Plaintiff was serving his prison sentence at A.S.P.C. Eyman Cook Unit, he was seen by an optometrist. The optometrist evaluated his right eye and told the Plaintiff [Y]ou have nerve damage. Your pupil doesn't contract properly. I don't have the equipment here to properly evaluate it. You need to see a specialist. I'll make the referral. "As of the date of this Complaint, Plaintiff has not yet seen an eye specialist.
- 9. In any excessive force case regardless of the Status of the Plaintiff (prisoner, pretrial detainee, or arrestee), two hundles must be Cleared. First, is the threshold requirement that the Plaintiff demonstrate that he or she was harmed by the use of force.

 Second, the plaintiff must show that the use of force was not 3-D

justified at all or, if it was, that its use in the context of the case was excessive. Plaintiff can pass the first hundle easily by presenting Various medical records that will show the harm he suffered. The Plaintiff can pass the second hundle once further discovery is obtained including the substation video footage and witness testimony. At no point did the Plaintiff physically attack or pose a significant threat to Defendant Gibson, anyone else, or anything else. Therefore, Defendant Gibson's use of force was unnecessary, unreasonable and was applied maliciously and sadistically to cause a wanton infliction of pain, serious bodily injury, and severe bodily injury. Not to mention, after assaulting the Plaintiff and in the face of a medical emergency, Defendant Gibson neglected the Plaintiff's need to go to the hospital and instead washed his Vehicle; this action continued to victimize the Plaintiff and Showed how indifferent Defendant Gibson was to Plaintiff's well-being. Also, pending a specialist visit, Plaintiff's eye injury may end up being permanent.

10. The Plaintiff will show that the report from the Casa Grande Fire Department contradicts what Dependent Gibson put into his report for incident. The report for incident completed by Deputy Reibschied will support Plaintiff's allegation that a Serious injury occurred in the Substation. Plaintiff is also confident that the Video footage preserved by the Pinal County Sheriff's Office-Department substation footage - Showing the excessive force incident, will support Plaintiff's alleged facts.

_	11. The following is a list of Plaintiff's exhibits that he'll	
	Present and that are currently in his possession:	
_	A. P.C.S.O. Deputy(s) Report for Incident 230422002	
	B. Casa Grande Fire Department Pre-Hospital Cane	
_	B. Casa Grande Fire Department Pre-Hospital Care Report-Incident Number: 2023-00004081	
-	C. P. C.S.O. Substation - 820 E. Cottonwood Ln. in	
	Casa Grande, Arizona-Preserved Building Footage	
-	for 9:00 P.M. April 21st, 2023, through 6:00 A.M.	
	April 22 nd , 2023.	
	D. All Plaintiff's current and future medical records	
	applicable to this Civil Rights Complaint.	
-		
		}
1		

	COUNT II
1.	State the constitutional or other federal civil right that was violated: Violation of the 14th, 8th, and
ten	Amendment to the U.S. Constitution - Defendant P.C.S.O. Sheriff Mark T. Lamb.
2.	Count II. Identify the issue involved. Check only one. State additional issues in separate counts. ☐ Basic necessities ☐ Mail ☐ Access to the court ☐ Medical care ☐ Disciplinary proceedings ☐ Property ☐ Exercise of religion ☐ Retaliation ☐ Excessive force by an officer ☐ Threat to safety ☐ Other:
3.	Supporting Facts. State as briefly as possible the FACTS supporting Count II. Describe exactly what
	h Defendant did or did not do that violated your rights. State the facts clearly in your own words without
citin	ng legal authority or arguments. Plaintiff Tomas Latham (herein "Plaintiff") again alleges all facts
51	sted in Count Tac this and largery and is there and marking
the	ated in Count I of this complaint and hereby applies them and reaffing uninthis count. Plaintiff is alleging that he suffered an unnecessary excessive
الميك	me assault hu Pinal County Sheripa's Oppinger Deputy B. (Tibson Cherkin)
1.,	Defendant Gibson"), Bakge No. 2366, Shortly after Defendant Gibson rested him on April 21st, 2023. At the time Defendant Gibson used excessive
acri	rested him on April 21st, 2023. At the time Defendant Gibson used excessive
£01	nce against the Plaintiff, the Pinal County Sheriff's Office (herein P.C.S.O.)
	eriff was Mark To Lamb (herein" Defendant Lamb"). This count is against
2	fendant Lamb in his official Capacity as he is the ultimate bearer of
re	sponsibility at P.C.S.O. for hiring, training, policy compliance, management,
DUG	rervision, and investigation of excessive force claims. On September 5th 2023, Notice of Claim was Sent - in an attempt by the Plaintiff to settle and address
163	's excessive force - Via inmate legal mail directly to Defendant Lamb. As far
as	Plaintiff Knows, Defendant Lamb has not investigated this claim and he is
the	enefore indifferent to the incident as he either knew or should have known
OF	the Plaintiffs allegations and responded appropriately. Defendant Lamb
٧'n٥	plated the Plaintiff's constitutional rights while acting under the color
01	Jaw.
	The Grant Control of the Control of the Defendant (a)
4.	Injury. State how you were injured by the actions or inactions of the Defendant(s).
<u>Der</u>	jour bodily injury consisting of optical nerve damage to the right eye, severe bodily injury on a laceration above the right eye that caused scarring, and psychological terror
	uding, but not limited to: P.T.S.D., anxiety, and trust issues of authority figures.
MICE	The state of the s
5.,	Administrative Remedies.
	a. Are there any administrative remedies (grievance procedures or administrative appeals) available at
	your institution? Yes \square No
	b. Did you submit a request for administrative relief on Count II?
	c. Did you appeal your request for relief on Count II to the highest level?
	d. If you did not submit or appeal a request for administrative relief at any level, briefly explain why you
	did not. Administrative remedies do not apply to an excessive force
	Complaint at the time of arrest.

1.	State the constitutional or other federal civil right that was violated:
2.	Count III. Identify the issue involved. Check only one. State additional issues in separate counts. □ Basic necessities □ Mail □ Access to the court □ Medical care □ Disciplinary proceedings □ Property □ Exercise of religion □ Retaliation □ Excessive force by an officer □ Threat to safety □ Other:
	Supporting Facts. State as briefly as possible the FACTS supporting Count III. Describe exactly what a Defendant did or did not do that violated your rights. State the facts clearly in your own words without ag legal authority or arguments.
4.	Injury. State how you were injured by the actions or inactions of the Defendant(s).
5.	Administrative Remedies. a. Are there any administrative remedies (grievance procedures or administrative appeals) available at your institution? b. Did you submit a request for administrative relief on Count III? c. Did you appeal your request for relief on Count III to the highest level? d. If you did not submit or appeal a request for administrative relief at any level, briefly explain why you did not.

If you assert more than three Counts, answer the questions listed above for each additional Count on a separate page.

E. REQUEST FOR RELIEF

State the relief you are seeking:	1 1 1
Compensatory damages to be determined upon fur	Ther evaluation by an
optometry specialist: Plus all count fees and cos	. 6) 010
the amount of \$175,000,00 U.S. Dollars. Injunct	ive relief that orders the
Pinal County Sheriff's Office to fully restructure.	their policy to both prevent
The start of the s	of unnecessary excessive force
appropriately. Declaratory relief and all other	roling the Pount deems
Such and Company	CHOT THE COURT ETGG.
just and propers	<u> </u>
I dealess under agreets of nations that the foregoing is true and correct	1
I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.	
Executed on //-20 - 2023	I mount that
	CICNIA TIDE OF DI AINTIEF
DATE	SIGNATURE OF FLAINTIFF
(Name and title of paralegal, legal assistant, or	
other person who helped prepare this complaint)	
(Signature of attorney, if any)	
(bigilatale of attorney, if any)	
(Attorney's address & telephone number)	
TATTOTTIEV S 200TESS A TELEPHONE HIMBELL	

ADDITIONAL PAGES

All questions must be answered concisely in the proper space on the form. If you need more space, you may attach no more than fifteen additional pages. But the form must be completely filled in to the extent applicable. If you attach additional pages, be sure to identify which section of the complaint is being continued and number all pages.